# Oracle Magazine Summary Report

Erdos & Morgan Print Readership Survey

**June 2010** 

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- Readers have been reading Oracle Magazine for an average of 4.8 years.
- One-third (32%) of Oracle subscriptions are received in North America; 24% in Europe and 22% in Asia.
- Readers read an average of 5 (of 6) issues and spend an average of 66.1 minutes with the magazine.
- Well over one-third (36%) read the issue by turning pages one at a time, from one end to other, looking at nearly every page, while 27% quickly thumb through the issue pausing only on selected pages, and 26% pick articles from the Table of Contents and read those.
- An average of 2 other people read the respondent's copies of *Oracle* generating 3 RPC.
- Well over half (56%) of *Oracle* readers say they discussed an article or referred someone to it, while 51% visited a URL contained in/at end of an article.
- Well over 9 in 10 readers find coverage or types of articles on 1) Tips and Code (95%), 2) PL SQL (94%), 3) Expert Opinions (94%), 4) Advice on using new database features (93%), 5) Customer examples/case studies (92%) and 6) Technology overviews and strategy features (92%) "Very/Somewhat Useful".
- Well over 4 in 5 readers "Strongly Agree/Agree" that 1) The Web Locators in *Oracle* Magazine articles point me to valuable information that helps me understand and use Oracle products (86%), 2) *Oracle* Magazine provides information that helps me make better strategic decisions or recommendations in my job (86%), and 3) *Oracle* Magazine is a "Must Read" for me (86%).
- Nearly all (95%) prefer to read *Oracle* in print vs. online.
- Two-thirds (66%) of Oracle readers also read other publications regularly, with ComputerWorld read most often by 41%.
- Seven in ten (69%) visit Oracle Technology network once a month or more often, while 32% attend Oracle events once a year or more often.
- Nearly 9 in 10 (87%) prefer to receive Oracle content via their computer (desktop/laptop).
- Nearly half (48%) have either used blogging or considered blogging as part of their organization's communications strategy.
- Oracle readers are employed in many business industries, most often in financial services/insurance (11%) and government (11%).
- Well over 4 in 5 Oracle readers are in IT/IS management/staff (79%), while 17% are corporate management/staff in an average company size of 13,144.

- Four in five (82%) evaluate, specify, recommend, or authorize the purchase of products and services for their company, most often in these areas: 1) 60% with database products, 2) 41% with application or web development tools, 3) 40% with servers (including server appliances), and 4) 39% with desktop/laptop computers.
- Respondents anticipate their organization will spend an average of \$313,000 on computer hardware, software, peripherals and services for your location in the next 12 months.
- Respondents are:

• 92% male

Median age: 39.3 years

• 94% graduated college or better

• Median HHI: \$63,100

#### READERSHIP OF ORACLE MAGAZINE

### How long respondent has been a reader of Oracle Magazine:

N = 1,646
11%
18%
26%
18%
14%
13%
4.8 years
4.6 years

### Subscription to *Oracle* received in these countries:

		N = 1,646
•	North America – net	32%
	<ul><li>United States</li></ul>	26%
	<ul><li>Canada</li></ul>	5%
	<ul><li>Mexico</li></ul>	2%
•	South America* – net	9%
	<ul><li>Brazil</li></ul>	4%
	<ul><li>Argentina</li></ul>	3%
•	Europe* – net	24%
	<ul><li>Germany</li></ul>	4%
	<ul> <li>United Kingdom</li> </ul>	3%
	<ul><li>Italy</li></ul>	3%
	<ul><li>The Netherlands</li></ul>	2%
	<ul><li>Spain</li></ul>	2%
	<ul><li>Poland</li></ul>	1%
•	Mideast* – net	4%
•	Africa* – net	4%
	<ul><li>Nigeria</li></ul>	2%
•	Asia* – net	22%
	<ul><li>India</li></ul>	14%
	<ul><li>Pakistan</li></ul>	3%
	<ul><li>Philippines</li></ul>	1%
•	Pacific – net	3%
	<ul><li>Australia</li></ul>	2%
٠	All other	2%

<sup>\*</sup> Countries with 1% or more are listed. Does not add to 100% due to omitted countries or rounding.

#### Number of issues out of every 6 issues Oracle readers read:

s out of every o issues ofucie reducts read.	
·	N = 1,646
<ul><li>Read 1 or more issues</li></ul>	96%
<ul><li>Read 6 out of 6</li></ul>	53%
• Read 5 out of 6	13%
<ul><li>Read 4 out of 6</li></ul>	15%
• Read 3 out of 6	9%
• Read 2 out of 6	4%
• Read 1 out of 6	2%
None out of 6	1%
<ul> <li>New reader, have not yet obtained 6 issues</li> </ul>	3%
Mean	5.0 issues
Median	6.0 issues

### How long readers spend looking through a typical issue of *Oracle* (among readers of 1+ of last 6 issues or new readers):

		N = 1,636
	Less than 30 minutes	11%
	30 to less than 45 minutes	24%
	45 minutes to less than 1 hour	23%
	1 to less than 1 ½ hours	19%
	1 ½ to less than 2 hours	11%
	2 hours or more	13%
Mean		66.1 minutes
Median		54.9 minutes

<sup>\*</sup>Does not add to 100% due to rounding.

#### How usually read *Oracle* (among readers of 1+ of last 6 issues or new readers):

i	Any o	of these methods	N = 1,636 99%
	•	Turn pages one at a time, from one end of issue to other, looking at nearly every page	36%
	•	Quickly thumb through the issue pausing only on selected pages	27%
	•	Pick articles from Table of Contents and read those	26%
	•	Turn mostly to the feature sections or summaries that appear in every issue	6%
	•	Look at the cover or Table of Contents and occasionally go beyond this	3%
•	None	of these	1%

<sup>\*</sup>Does not add to 100% due to rounding.

Number of other persons who read or looked through copy of *Oracle* (among readers of 1+ of last 6 issues or new readers):

O.	iust o issues of fie w reducts).	
	One or more other needle total	N = 1,636 60%
-	One or more other people – total	00 %
	<ul><li>1 person</li></ul>	18%
	<ul><li>2 people</li></ul>	17%
	<ul><li>3 people</li></ul>	10%
	<ul><li>4 people</li></ul>	5%
	<ul><li>5 or more people</li></ul>	11%
•	No other people	40%
	Mean	2.0 people
	Median	1.0 people

### ACTIONS, ARTICLE USEFULNESS, PREFERENCE FOR CONTENT & AUTHORS

Actions taken as a result of reading *Oracle* in the past 12 months (among readers of 1+ of last 6 issues or new readers):

	Any a	actions	N = 1,636 91%
	•	Discussed an article or referred someone to it	56%
	•	Visited a URL contained in or at the end of an article	51%
	•	Downloaded or copied the sample code that accompanies the article	42%
	•	Visited an advertiser's website	39%
	•	Used Oracle to justify an IT purchase/decision to	
		management	24%
	•	Cut out an article	17%
	•	Requested information or bought/ordered an Oracle product/service	12%
	•	Requested information or bought/ordered an advertiser's product/service	11%
	•	Cut out an advertisement	4%
	•	Called OracleDirect for product information	3%
•	Took	none of the above actions	10%

<sup>\*</sup>Does not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Topics of coverage or types of articles found "Very/Somewhat Useful" (among readers of 1+ of last 6 issues or new readers of *Oracle*):

		N = 1,636
•	Tips and Code	95%
•	PL SQL	94%
•	Expert opinions	94%
•	Advice on using new database features	93%
•	Customer examples/case studies	92%
•	Technology overviews and strategy features	92%
•	Core database management	90%
•	Oracle news and events	88%
•	XML	83%
•	JAVA	82%
•	OLAP/BI/Data Warehousing	79%
•	SOA/Web Services	77%
•	Oracle partner product news	77%
•	Middleware (Application Integration, Portal Develop.	
	Business Process Management, Collaboration)	75%
•	JDeveloper	69%
•	Windows/.net development	65%
•	Oracle Business Applications (PeopleSoft, JD Edwards,Retek)	62%

### Readers of 1+ of last 6 issues or new readers of *Oracle* "Agree Strongly/Agree" with each of these statements:

		N = 1,636
•	The Web Locators in Oracle Magazine articles point me to valuable	e
	information that helps me understand and use Oracle products	86%
•	Oracle Magazine provides information that helps me make	
	better strategic decisions or recommendations in my job	86%
•	Oracle Magazine is a "Must Read" for me	86%
•	Oracle Magazine provides information I cannot get from	
	other publications	76%
•	Reading <i>Oracle</i> convinces me that Oracle Corporation	
	understands my company's challenges	71%
•	I make recommendations or buying decisions based	
	on Partner advertisements in Oracle Magazine	49%
	<u> </u>	

### Preference in reading *Oracle* Magazine in print or online (among readers of 1+ of last 6 issues or new readers):

	,	N = 1,636
•	In print	95%
•	Online	5%

#### OTHER MAGAZINE READERSHIP

### Publications also read on a regular basis (at least 3 or 4 of every 4 issues published): N=1,646

		N = 1,646
Any mer	ntioned here	66%
•	ComputerWorld	41%
•	Database Trends and Applications	21%
•	Linux Journal	21%
•	InfoWorld	19%
•	CIO	15%
•	Java Developer's Journal	12%
•	Java World	12%
•	Quest Q&A (International Online Magazine	11%
•	Dr. Dobb's Journal	10%
•	Profit: the Business of Technology	9%
•	Oracle Scene (UKOUG)	8%
•	XML Magazine	7%
•	XML Journal	7%
•	JavaPro	6%
•	DM Review	5%
•	Select (IOUG)	5%
None of		34%
		<ul> <li>Database Trends and Applications</li> <li>Linux Journal</li> <li>InfoWorld</li> <li>CIO</li> <li>Java Developer's Journal</li> <li>Java World</li> <li>Quest Q&amp;A (International Online Magazine</li> <li>Dr. Dobb's Journal</li> <li>Profit: the Business of Technology</li> <li>Oracle Scene (UKOUG)</li> <li>XML Magazine</li> <li>XML Journal</li> <li>JavaPro</li> <li>DM Review</li> </ul>

## FREQUENCY OF VISITS TO ORACLE TECHNOLOGY NETWORK/EVENT ATTENDANCE

#### Frequency of visits to Oracle Technology Network:

isits to ordere rechinology received in	
-	N = 1,646
<ul> <li>Frequently – once or twice a week</li> </ul>	30%
<ul> <li>Occasionally – once or twice a month</li> </ul>	39%
<ul> <li>Seldom – every two to three months</li> </ul>	23%
• Never	7%

<sup>\*</sup>Does not add to 100% due to rounding.

### Frequency of attendance at Oracle events:

		N = 1,646
•	Frequently – two or three events a year	10%
•	Occasionally – one or two events a year	22%
•	Seldom – one every year or so	36%
•	Never	32%

#### TYPE OF MEDIUM WOULD PREFER TO RECEIVE ORACLE CONTENT

#### Preferred media to receive Oracle content:

	N = 1,646
<ul><li>Any medium mentioned - net</li></ul>	93%
<ul><li>Computer (desktop/laptop)</li></ul>	87%
<ul><li>iPhone</li></ul>	14%
<ul><li>Cell/mobile phone</li></ul>	13%
<ul><li>Blackberry</li></ul>	10%
<ul><li>iPad</li></ul>	6%
<ul><li>Hard copy/paper/print</li></ul>	3%
<ul><li>Kindle</li></ul>	3%
<ul><li>Sony eReader</li></ul>	2%
<ul><li>Palm</li></ul>	2%
<ul><li>None of these</li></ul>	7%

<sup>\*</sup> Media with 1% or more are listed. Not listed: Nook, Android phone, Magazine/book (non-electronic), Mail, Email, Windows mobile, Other.

#### ORGANIZATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

## Whether corporate blogs are becoming part of organization's communications strategy: N=1.646

		N = 1,646
	Yes, we use blogging to communicate outside the company	18%
•	We have considered it but are undecided/have not used it yet	30%
•	No, but I read them myself	52%

#### **Employer's primary business\*:**

		N = 1,646
	Financial services/insurance	11%
•	Government (net)	11%
•	Education	9%
•	Other business and services	8%
•	Telecommunications	8%
•	Independent software vendor	8%
•	Professional services	6%
•	Systems integrator/VAR/VAD	6%
•	Applications service provider	5%
•	Health care	5%
	Industrial manufacturing	3%
•	Retail/wholesale/distribution	3%
	Travel and transportation	2%
•	Media and entertainment	2%
•	High technology/manufacturing/OEM	2%
	Utilities (electric, gas, sanitation, water)	2%
•	Aerospace & defense manufacturing	2%
•	Oil and gas	2%
•	Automotive manufacturing	1%
•	Construction/engineering	1%
	Research	1%
•	Life sciences (biotech, pharmaceuticals)	1%

<sup>\*</sup>Primary business with more than 1% is listed. Not listed: Chemicals, Consumer sector/consumer package goods, Natural resources.

<sup>\*</sup> Does not add to 100% due to industries omitted or rounding.

Respondent's job function*:		N = 1,646
• IT/I	S management/staff – total*	<b>79</b> %
•	DBA/Systems administrator	25%
•	Systems development/programming management	17%
•	Systems development/programming staff	17%
•	Consulting	10%
•	Other technical management/staff	4%
•	Technical support director/manager	4%
•	Education/training	3%
<ul><li>Corj</li></ul>	porate management/staff – total*	17%
•	Computer systems/operations management	8%
•	Executive management	5%
•	Finance/administrative management	2%
•	Sales/marketing management	1%
<ul><li>Other</li></ul>	er job function	4%

<sup>\*</sup> Job functions with more than 1% are listed. Not listed under corporate management/staff: Supply chain VP or manager, Manufacturing VP or manager, Human resource VP or manager, Service VP or manager, Customer relationship VP or manager.

<sup>\*</sup> Does not add to 100% due to functions omitted or rounding.

## Number of employees in entire company (including all plants, branches, divisions and subsidiaries):

	N = 1,646
<ul><li>Under 10</li></ul>	9%
■ 10 – 24	5%
■ 25 – 49	5%
■ 50 – 99	5%
■ 100 – 499	14%
■ 500 – 999	9%
■ 1,000 – 2,499	11%
<b>2</b> ,500 – 9,999	16%
■ 10,000 – 24,999	7%
<b>2</b> 5,000 – 49,999	5%
<b>5</b> 0,000 +	14%
Mean	13,144
Median	1,362

## Products or services respondent evaluates, specifies, recommends, or authorizes the purchase of:

iase of.			
			N = 1,646
•	Any*		<b>82%</b>
	•	Database products	60%
	•	Application or web development tools	41%
	•	Servers (including server appliances)	40%
	•	Desktop/laptop computers	39%
	•	Other software	33%
	•	Storage products	30%
	•	Enterprise/business applications	27%
	•	Consulting services	25%
	•	Products middleware software	24%
	•	Infrastructure and systems management tools	21%
	•	Industry specific applications	13%
•	None o	of the above	19%

<sup>\*</sup> Does not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Products a company owns (based on respondents who evaluate, specify, recommend or authorize a purchase):

	Any (net)		N = 1,342 $92%$
	•	vers (including server appliances) (subnet)	84%
		o Dell	43%
		<ul> <li>Hewlett Packard</li> </ul>	41%
		o IBM	33%
		o Sun	33%
		<ul> <li>Fujitsu-Siemens</li> </ul>	7%
		o Other	16%
	<ul><li>Ope</li></ul>	erating systems (subnet)	84%
	_	<ul> <li>Windows Server</li> </ul>	64%
		<ul> <li>Red Hat Linux</li> </ul>	38%
		<ul> <li>Solaris</li> </ul>	30%
		o HP/UX	21%
		o AIX	18%
		<ul> <li>Novell SUSE Linux Enterprise</li> </ul>	9%
		<ul><li>Asianux</li></ul>	3%
		<ul><li>Other</li></ul>	14%
	<ul><li>Pro</li></ul>	cessors (subnet)	77%
		<ul><li>Intel (Xeon or X86)</li></ul>	65%
		<ul> <li>AMD Opteron</li> </ul>	21%
		o SPARC	20%
		<ul> <li>Ultra SPARC</li> </ul>	15%
		<ul><li>Other</li></ul>	12%
	• Stor	rage products (subnet)	<b>72%</b>
		Hewlett Packard	24%
		o Dell	22%
		o IBM	22%
		o EMC	18%
		<ul><li>Veritas</li></ul>	11%
		<ul> <li>Network Appliance</li> </ul>	8%
		<ul> <li>Hitachi</li> </ul>	8%
		<ul> <li>Fujitsu-Siemens</li> </ul>	5%
		<ul> <li>EqualLogic</li> </ul>	3%
		o Other	15%
	<ul><li>Con</li></ul>	sulting services (subnet)	47%
		o IBM	15%
		<ul> <li>Accenture</li> </ul>	7%
		<ul> <li>Deloitte</li> </ul>	5%
		<ul> <li>Capgemini</li> </ul>	4%
		<ul> <li>Bearing Point</li> </ul>	3%
		o Other	31%
	<ul><li>All</li></ul>	other	6%
•	None of thes	se	8%

Products a company plans to purchase in next 12 (based on respondents who evaluate, specify, recommend or authorize a purchase):

or authorize a purchase):  Any (net)	N = 1,342 $75%$
<ul> <li>Servers (including server appliances) (subnet)</li> </ul>	49%
o Dell	18%
<ul> <li>Hewlett Packard</li> </ul>	17%
o IBM	14%
o Sun	13%
<ul> <li>Fujitsu-Siemens</li> </ul>	6%
<ul><li>Other</li></ul>	11%
<ul><li>Operating systems (subnet)</li></ul>	39%
<ul> <li>Windows Server</li> </ul>	18%
<ul> <li>Red Hat Linux</li> </ul>	15%
<ul> <li>Solaris</li> </ul>	10%
o AIX	7%
<ul> <li>Novell SUSE Linux Enterprise</li> </ul>	6%
o HP/UX	6%
<ul> <li>Asianux</li> </ul>	4%
<ul><li>Other</li></ul>	9%
<ul><li>Processors (subnet)</li></ul>	35%
o Intel (Xeon or X86)	23%
<ul> <li>AMD Opteron</li> </ul>	9%
<ul> <li>Ultra SPARC</li> </ul>	8%
<ul><li>SPARC</li></ul>	7%
<ul><li>Other</li></ul>	8%
<ul><li>Storage products (subnet)</li></ul>	34%
<ul> <li>Hewlett Packard</li> </ul>	9%
o IBM	9%
o EMC	9%
o Dell	8%
<ul> <li>Network Appliance</li> </ul>	6%
<ul> <li>Veritas</li> </ul>	6%
<ul> <li>Hitachi</li> </ul>	5%
<ul> <li>Fujitsu-Siemens</li> </ul>	5%
<ul> <li>EqualLogic</li> </ul>	4%
<ul><li>Other</li></ul>	9%
<ul><li>Consulting services (subnet)</li></ul>	26%
o IBM	8%
<ul> <li>Accenture</li> </ul>	6%
o Deloitte	5%
<ul> <li>Bearing Point</li> </ul>	4%
<ul> <li>Capgemini</li> </ul>	4%
o Other	17%
♦ All other	9%
<ul><li>None of these</li></ul>	25%

Anticipated spending by organization on computer hardware, software, peripherals and services for respondent's location in the next 12 months (among respondents who evaluate, specify, recommend or authorize purchases):

	N = 1,342
<ul><li>Less than \$10,000</li></ul>	21%
<b>\$10,000 - \$49,999</b>	26%
<b>\$50,000 - \$99,999</b>	13%
<b>\$100,000 - \$499,999</b>	18%
<b>\$500,000 - \$999,999</b>	8%
• \$1,000,000 and over	15%
Mean	\$313,000
Median	\$ 62,000

<sup>\*</sup> Does not add to 100% due to rounding.

#### RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

#### **Respondent gender:**

		N = 1,646
•	Male	92%
•	Female	8%

#### **Respondent age:**

ut age.	
	N = 1,646
<ul><li>Under 25 years</li></ul>	4%
<b>■</b> 25 – 34	31%
■ 35 – 44	35%
■ 45 − 54	22%
<b>■</b> 55 +	8%
Mean	40.0 yrs.
Median	39.3 yrs.

#### **Education:**

ï	Colleg	N = 1,646 94%	
	•	Graduated from college	42%
	•	Postgraduate study without degree	13%
	•	MBA	10%
	•	Other master's degree	26%
	•	Doctoral degree	3%
•	Attended college or less		6%

### Respondent's Household Income in 2009:\*

	N = 1,646
Less than \$25,000	22%
<b>\$25,000-\$29,999</b>	9%
<b>\$30,000-\$39,999</b>	7%
<b>\$40,000-\$49,999</b>	6%
<b>\$50,000-\$74,999</b>	13%
<b>\$75,000-\$99,999</b>	13%
<b>\$100,000-\$124,999</b>	12%
<b>\$125,000-\$149,999</b>	7%
<b>\$150,000-\$174,999</b>	5%
<b>\$175,000-\$199,999</b>	2%
<b>\$200,000</b> or more	5%
Mean	\$76,000
Median	\$63,100

<sup>\*</sup> Does not add to 100% due to rounding.